IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: SOFER, Eli

Serial No.

: 10/519,483

Filed

: September 15, 2005

For

: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR A MULTISENSOR IMAGING AND SCENE INTERPRETATION SYSTEM

Group Art Unit: 2621 Examiner: Tung Vo

Tel Aviv, Israel November 16, 2008

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Alexandria, VA 22313 $\,$

Sir:

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION AND REQUEST FOR EXAMINER AMENDMENT

In response to the Office Action of July 31, 2008, and pursuant to the interview of October 27, 2008, Applicant presents the following Remarks (see next page):

REMARKS

The Applicant has received and carefully considered the Office Action of July 31, 2008.

The Applicant wishes to express his appreciation to the Examiner for the interview conducted with the undersigned, Applicant's attorney, in which an agreement was reached.

As discussed in the interview, the proposal submitted with the letter of 12 October, 2008 (copy attached) has been accepted and forms the basis for the amendment of independent claims 1 and 27, which have been indicated to be allowable, per the attached interview summary. The dependent claims were also deemed to be allowable based on this proposal.

Applicant hereby requests the Examiner to implement these amendments to these claims by Examiner's amendment.

It is requested that the Examiner present the format of the claims after amendment, in the next Office Action.

Based on the above, it is requested that the Notice of Allowance be sent as early as possible.

Respectfully submitted

Edward Langer, Pat. Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 30, 564

Shiboleth, Yisraeli, Roberts, Zisman & Co 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527, New York, NY 10119 Tel: (212) 244-4111 Fax: (212) 563-7108 502609

Amnon Shiboleth Yaacov Yistaeli Richard M. Roberts lizhak Zisman Moshe H. Ne'eman Lior Aviram Helera Beilin HilleHish-Shalom Simuel Hamou Revital Ben-Artzi Ont Stambell-Zaltzman Nava Shohat-Brenner Carli Onzan Linear Peled Oter Shapira Ofer Manor Michal Barak

Itai Leshem

Amir Lisher

Gil Rosenberg

Oren Heiman

Min Bickel

Benny Kalifi

Yossi Koren CPA

Ont Maroin-Albeck

Amir Ben-Yehosua

Dr. Yuval Kamiel

Edward Langer



TEL AVIV ADDRESS

Museum Tower, 4 Berkowitz St., Tel Aviv 64238, Israel Tel: 972 3 7778333 Fax: 972 3 7778444

RAANANA ADDRESS

315 Giron Center, P.O.B. 410, Raanana 43103, Israel Tel: 972 9 7713585 Fax: 972 9 7713593

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT

EDWARD LANGER B.S.E.E., M.B.A., J.D., ADV. & PAT. ATTY. (Israel Bar), REG. US PAT. AITY. (Pennsylvania Bar) e-mail: e.langer@shibolet.com

by Fax: +1-571-273-7340

Of Counsel l. Amhud Ben-Porath Tel-Aviv, October 12, 2008 Ref: 499025

Mr. Tung Vo, Examiner **US Patent Office**

Washington, D.C. 20231 Group Art Unit: 2621

Re: US Patent Application S/N. 10/519,483 Filed Sept. 15, 2005 entitled:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR A MULTISENSOR IMAGING AND

SCENE INTERPRETATION SYSTEM

Inventor: SOFER, Eli

Our Ref.: 1887

Dear Mr. Vo,

This letter is to confirm our scheduled meeting of October 27, 2008, at 197

We have received the Office Action of July 31, 2008 and I would like to discuss the following proposal, per the attached revised claim 1 and claim 27 in accordance with your suggestion to accept claims 48 and 51 if re-written.

Please confirm receipt of this material by email to the above email and to a second email address: edlanger@netvision.net.il

Thank you.

Ofer Ben-Yehuda Moty Ben-Yona Shelly Blan Zak Sigalit Blumberg Michal Borovsky Netanela Bouzaglo Haim Brandstetter Yonit Calmanovich Keren Diner Efrat Elias

Saul Adereth

Nati Agmon

Shlomi Arbel

Inbal Baumer

Shalom Balas, CPA

Diana Albu

Yoram Ginach Barak Golan Elad Greiner Tali Hammerschlag Inbal Hava Miriam Heller Lidji Ronny Hofmann Yaacov Houdijk

Roy Kubovský Anat Lahovitsky Tirza Less-Gross Noa Malachi Sassi Masliah Adi Melamed Ehud Merary

Eval Mizrahi Yifat Mor Ruth Offek Meyrav Oren Hadas Raccah Karen Roffe Andrew Rosen

Lilach Shem-Tov Keren Schiller Massuri Orna Schwartz Shai Shulman Tsali Shaley

Maya Sidis-Vager Hanna Yanovsky

Admitted also in New York

Admitted also in Pennsylvania

*** Admitted also in England

****Admitted also in California

Fatent Atty.

Singerely.

In the claims:

1. (currently amended) A system for aiding a user person with a visual impairment or visual obstruction, comprising:

means for sensing time/space characteristics and physical characteristics information about an a plurality of objects in a field of view of said person;

means for identifying, interpreting, decomposing, and synthesizing said time/space characteristics and physical characteristics information and for high-level characterizing (recognition) the said plurality of objects; and

audible information delivery device (Mmeans for verbally communicating) for audibly output and input information between said system and describing to the said user, the characterization of the object and said interpretation about the object.

46. (new) The system according to claim 1, wherein said output information further comprises a scene description about said surrounding environment within the field of view of said person, and

48. (new) The system according to claim 46 wherein said scene description comprises a hierarchy of object classes identified by said system, together with their position information, physical characteristics, and relative velocity vectors indicating motion.

27. (currently amended) A method for detecting, analyzing, and identifying, and reporting at least one a plurality of objects by name to a visually impaired or blind person in a field of vision view of said person, and notifying the user, comprising the steps of:

providing a 3-D laser imaging system to accurately measure both the coordinates of said plurality of objects in both static and dynamic motion and the characterization of the relationships between said plurality of objects in relation to said person;

acquiring a plurality of 3-D visual images of and information about said at least one plurality of objects;

processing said plurality of 3-D visual images and said information;

storing said information of said plurality of objects by names in a hierarchy utilizing a structured World Model;

identifying said at least one plurality of objects, and audiblycommunicating verbally with said person to report said information about ing at least one said plurality of objects in said field of vision view;

-51: (new) The method of claim 27 further comprising:

reflecting and capturing laser pulses from the surfaces of said plurality of objects present in close range to said person to produce a "cloud" of spatial pixels (voxels) representing points on all said surfaces which can be observed from said person's point of view;

applying a set of low-level algorithms to decompose said "cloud" into a set of regular surfaces defined by parameters of type, dimensions, orientation in space, and relative (to said user) velocity vector; and

applying a set of high-level algorithms to act on said surfaces to combine them into identified 3-D objects with assigned names.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,483	SOFER, ELI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tung Vo	2621	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) <u>Tung Vo</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Edward Langer, Reg. No. 30,564</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 October 2008.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's represe	entative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 27</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached.	h) <u></u> N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed to a incorporating claims 46, 48, and 51. A review of dependent and 52-53 will be allowable. If additional claims to be continuous to amend claims by Examiner's amendment.	amend claims 1 and 27 a. ent claims indicated that c	s examiner suggested claims 2-5-7-31-35-3	by 88-41 43-45
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments	ner agreed would rend that would render the	der the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	le last Office action has a R OF ONE MONTH OR T TERVIEW SUMMARY F	ilready been filed, APF HIRTY DAYS FROM ORM. WHICHEVER IS	PLICANT IS THIS SLATER TO
	PF	TUNG VO HIMARY EXAMINER	
/Tung Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621			